## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI EASTERN DIVISION

SIDNEY HEBRON,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
V.	)	No. 4:07CV1349 CDP
	)	
MATTHEW SIMPSON, et al.,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	

### **MEMORANDUM AND ORDER**

This matter is before the Court upon the motion of Sidney Hebron (registration no. 80839), an inmate at St. Louis City Justice Center, for leave to commence this action without payment of the required filing fee [Doc. #2]. For the reasons stated below, the Court finds that plaintiff does not have sufficient funds to pay the entire filing fee and will assess an initial partial filing fee of \$7.83. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1). Furthermore, based upon a review of the complaint, the Court finds that the complaint should be dismissed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B).

# 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1)

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1), a prisoner bringing a civil action in forma pauperis is required to pay the full amount of the filing fee. If the prisoner has insufficient funds in his or her prison account to pay the entire fee, the Court must assess and, when funds exist, collect an initial partial filing fee of 20 percent of the

greater of (1) the average monthly deposits in the prisoner's account, or (2) the average monthly balance in the prisoner's account for the prior six-month period. After payment of the initial partial filing fee, the prisoner is required to make monthly payments of 20 percent of the preceding month's income credited to the prisoner's account. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(2). The agency having custody of the prisoner will forward these monthly payments to the Clerk of Court each time the amount in the prisoner's account exceeds \$10, until the filing fee is fully paid. <u>Id.</u>

Plaintiff has submitted an affidavit and a certified copy of his prison account statement for the six-month period immediately preceding the submission of his complaint. A review of plaintiff's account indicates an average monthly deposit of \$39.17, and an average monthly balance of \$19.34. Plaintiff has insufficient funds to pay the entire filing fee. Accordingly, the Court will assess an initial partial filing fee of \$7.83, which is 20 percent of plaintiff's average monthly deposit.

## 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B), the Court may dismiss a complaint filed in forma pauperis if the action is frivolous, malicious, fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted, or seeks monetary relief against a defendant who is immune from such relief. An action is frivolous if "it lacks an arguable basis in either law or in fact." Neitzke v. Williams, 490 U.S. 319, 328 (1989). An action fails to

state a claim upon which relief can be granted if it does not plead "enough facts to state a claim to relief that is plausible on its face." Bell Atlantic Corp. V. Twombly, 127 S. Ct. 1955, 1974 (2007).

In reviewing a pro se complaint under § 1915(e)(2)(B), the Court must give the complaint the benefit of a liberal construction. <u>Haines v. Kerner</u>, 404 U.S. 519, 520 (1972). The Court must also weigh all factual allegations in favor of the plaintiff, unless the facts alleged are clearly baseless. <u>Denton v. Hernandez</u>, 504 U.S. 25, 32-33 (1992); <u>Scheuer v. Rhodes</u>, 416 U.S. 232, 236 (1974).

#### The Complaint

Plaintiff brings this action under 42 U.S.C. § for alleged unreasonable search and seizure. Named as defendants are Matthew Simpson (Police Officer), Matthew Gough (same), and Jana Flynn (Assistant Public Defender). The complaint seeks monetary and injunctive relief.

Plaintiff alleges that on October 11, 2006, defendants Simpson and Gough approached him while he was sleeping in the back seat of his van. Plaintiff claims that Simpson and Gough searched him and his van without a warrant. Plaintiff alleges that he did not have any drugs or paraphernalia on his person or in his van. Plaintiff further alleges that Simpson and Gough arrested him on false charges that

he was carrying drugs and paraphernalia. Plaintiff claims that defendant Flynn is not giving him adequate representation.

#### **Discussion**

The complaint is silent as to whether defendants are being sued in their official or individual capacities. Where a "complaint is silent about the capacity in which [plaintiff] is suing defendant, [a district court must] interpret the complaint as including only official-capacity claims." Egerdahl v. Hibbing Community College, 72 F.3d 615, 619 (8th Cir.1995); Nix v. Norman, 879 F.2d 429, 431 (8th Cir. 1989). To state a claim against a municipality or a government official in his or her official capacity, plaintiff must allege that a policy or custom of the municipality was responsible for the alleged constitutional violation. Monell v. Department of Social Services, 436 U.S. 658, 690-91 (1978). The complaint does not allege that any municipal policy was responsible for the alleged constitutional violations. As a result, the complaint fails to state a claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, and it will be dismissed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B).

Additionally, "a public defender does not act under color of state law when performing a lawyer's traditional functions as counsel to a defendant in a criminal proceeding." <u>Polk County v. Dodson</u>, 454 U.S. 312, 325 (1981). As a result, the complaint is frivolous as to defendant Flynn.

Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's motion to proceed in forma

pauperis [Doc. #2] is **GRANTED**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the plaintiff shall pay an initial filing fee

of \$7.83 within thirty (30) days of the date of this Order. Plaintiff is instructed to

make his remittance payable to "Clerk, United States District Court," and to include

upon it: (1) his name; (2) his prison registration number; (3) the case number; and (4)

that the remittance is for an original proceeding.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk shall not issue process or cause

process to issue upon the complaint because the complaint is legally frivolous or fails

to state a claim upon which relief can be granted, or both.

An appropriate order of dismissal shall accompany this Memorandum and

Order.

Dated this 5th day of November, 2007.

JNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

-5-